

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047

TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950) JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(202) 223-7313

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(202) 379-4937

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

wisaacson@paulweiss.com

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10019-6064 TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

> SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE 10 NOBLE STREET LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
PO. BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

November 14, 2021

PARTNERS RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON

JUSTIN ANDERSON
J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN
CRAIG A. BENSON
JOSEPH J. BIAL
KAREN L. DUNN
KENNETH A. GALLO
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ
WILLIAM A. ISAACSON

MARK F. MENDELSOHN JANE B. O'BRIEN JESSICA E. PHILLIPS JEANNIE S. RHEE CHARLES F. "RICK" RULE KANNON K. SHANMUGAM JULIA TARVER MASON WOOD

PARTNERS NOT RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON

PARTNERS NOT RESIDENT IN W.
MATTHEW W. ABBOTT*
EDWARD T. ACKERMAN*
JACOB A. ADLERSTEIN*
ALLAN J. ARFFA*
JONATHAN H. ASHTOR*
ROBERT A. ATKINS*
SOTT A. BESTAN*
SOTT A. BESTAN*
LYNN B. BAYARD*
BRUCE BIRENBOIM*
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING*
BRIAN BOLIN*
ANGELO BONINO*
ROBERT A. BRITTON*
DALTER BROWN**
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL*
JUNNA M. BUERGEL*
JUNN

DANIEL J. KRAMER*
BRIAN KRAUSE*
CAITH KUSHNER*
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
GREGORY F. LAUFER*
BRIAN C. LAVIN*
KIAOYU GREG LIU*
ROREITA E. KYNCH*
JEFFREY D. MARELL*
MARCO V. MASOTTI*
DAVID W. MAYO*
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM*
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN*
ALVARO MEMBRILLERA*
CALUDIE MERCHLE*
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
ANDREW M. PARLEN
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY*
BRAD R. OKUN*
LINDSAY B. PARKS*
ANDREW M. PARLEN
ANDREW M. PARLEN
CATHERINE NYARADY*
BRAD R. OKUN*
LINDSAY B. PARKS*
ANDREW M. PARLEN
CATHERINE NYARADY*
BRAD R. OKUN*
LINDSAY B. PARKS*
ANDREW M. PARLEN
CATHERINE NYARADY*
BRAD R. OKUN*
JEFFREY J. RECHER*
CARL R. REISNER*
LORIN L. REISNER*
LORIN L. REISNER*
LORIN L. REISNER*
JUSTIN ROSEN'BERG*
JUSTIN ROSEN'B

*NOT AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE DC BAR *ADMITTED ONLY TO THE CALIFORNIA BAR

BY ECF

The Honorable Norman K. Moon United States District Court Western District of Virginia 255 West Main Street Charlottesville, VA 22902

Re: Sines et al. v. Kessler et al., No. 3:17-cv-00072 (NKM) (JCH)

Dear Judge Moon:

We write to follow-up on the Court's comments from last Friday that Mr. Schoep's alleged repudiation of his white supremacist *beliefs*, while not relevant to the claims, would be relevant to the issue of punitive damages.

2

As Plaintiffs have previously explained, testimony about Mr. Schoep's alleged disavowal of white supremacist beliefs has no probative value on the merits and therefore should be excluded under Federal Rules of Evidence 401, 402, and 403. ECF No. 1242. In a pre-trial hearing on the matter, the Court agreed, explaining that the "fact that someone has changed their character after the event in question is not relevant" to claims being litigated. Mot. Hr'g Tr. at 79:11-12.

Plaintiffs also contend that the evidence is inadmissible as to punitive damages. As many courts have held, evidence of a parties' conduct subsequent to the relevant events is rarely admissible "because it is more likely to be motivated by a desire to mitigate punitive damages than by genuine contrition." *Swinton v. Potomac Corp.*, 270 F.3d 794, 813 (9th Cir. 2001) (collecting authorities); *see also O'Gilvie v. Int'l Playtex*, 821 F.2d 1438, 1450 (10th Cir. 1987). For similar reasons, Plaintiffs have argued that the Court should exclude this testimony, under Rule 403, as it may lead to a mini-trial on the sincerity of Mr. Schoep's alleged conversion. ECF No. 1242 at 10-12.

Indeed, in order to be relevant, that sort of evidence must demonstrate that the defendant undertook genuine efforts to remediate the relevant *conduct*. For example, a defendant in a mass torts case arising from an oil spill might offer evidence that it instituted a system to compensate people harmed by the spill. *In re Exxon Valdez*, 490 F.3d 1066, 1088 (9th Cir. 2007). Mr. Schoep's anticipated testimony, however, does not fit that mold. As far as Plaintiffs are aware, Mr. Schoep has never publicly renounced nor made any efforts to remedy the specific racially motivated *violence* and *intimidation* that occurred at Unite the Right. To the contrary, Mr. Schoep insists that neither he nor any member of NSM or the Nationalist Front did anything wrong at Unite the Right. Thus, Mr. Schoep's testimony will serve only one purpose: It will be a form of improper "character testimony" intended to rehabilitate his character. Mot. Hr'g Tr. at 76:10-11 (Mr. ReBrook) ("It's character testimony, Your Honor, is what it is."). As the Court has already held, bolstering Mr. Schoep's post-Unite the Right character is neither relevant nor admissible.\(^1\) Mot. Hr'g Tr. at 76:13-14.

In addition, as Plaintiffs have previously explained, Mr. Schoep's anticipated testimony poses a serious risk of confusing the jury. As the Court has explained many times, Mr. Schoep is not on trial for his advocacy of, or involvement in, the white supremacist movement; instead, he is on trial for conspiring to commit violence and engaging in other acts which "transcend the bounds" of constitutionally protected expression or activity. *Sines v. Kessler*, No. 3:17-cv-00072, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168130, at *97 (W.D. Va. Sep. 3, 2021). Yet testimony about Mr. Schoep's purported

¹ Even assuming that Mr. Schoep's character is relevant, it would be impermissible for him to prove that character by testimony about specific instances of conduct, as his post-Unite the Right character is not "an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense." Fed. R. Evid. 405.

3

conversion is likely to leave the jury with the misimpression that Mr. Schoep is on trial because of his white supremacist *beliefs*, rather than his unlawful *conduct*. The Court should not be permit Mr. Schoep to "misdirect the jury's focus from the issues properly before it." *United States v. Wellons*, 32 F.3d 117, 120 n.3 (4th Cir. 1994).

Finally, to the extent that testimony would be admissible, as discussed in Court on Friday, it would be important for the jury to know its limited purpose. Trial Tr. at 188:14-15. The Court agreed, and stated that it "would so instruct them." Trial Tr. at 188:16-17. Plaintiffs respectfully request that, to the extent the Court permits Mr. Schoep to testify about his changed beliefs, it issue the limiting instruction set forth in Exhibit A.

Very truly yours,

William A. Isaacson

June D

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Of Counsel:

Roberta A. Kaplan (pro hac vice) Julie E. Fink (pro hac vice) Gabrielle E. Tenzer (pro hac vice) Michael L. Bloch (pro hac vice) Yotam Barkai (pro hac vice) Emily C. Cole (pro hac vice) Alexandra K. Conlon (pro hac vice) Raymond P. Tolentino (pro hac vice) Jonathan R. Kay (pro hac vice) Benjamin D. White (pro hac vice) KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110 New York, NY 10118 Telephone: (212) 763-0883 rkaplan@kaplanhecker.com ifink@kaplanhecker.com

gtenzer@kaplanhecker.com mbloch@kaplanhecker.com ybarkai@kaplanhecker.com ecole@kaplanhecker.com aconlon@kaplanhecker.com rtolentino@kaplanhecker.com jkay@kaplanhecker.com bwhite@kaplanhecker.com Jessica Phillips (pro hac vice) Karen L. Dunn (pro hac vice) William A. Isaacson (pro hac vice) Arpine S. Lawyer (pro hac vice) Agbeko Petty (pro hac vice) Giovanni Sanchez (pro hac vice) Matteo Godi (pro hac vice) PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON & **GARRISON LLP** 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Fax: (202) 223-7420 jphillips@paulweiss.com kdunn@paulweiss.com wisaacson@paulweiss.com alawyer@paulweiss.com apetty@paulweiss.com gsanchez@paulweiss.com mgodi@paulweiss.com

Makiko Hiromi (pro hac vice)
Nicholas A. Butto (pro hac vice)
PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
Telephone:(212) 373-3000
Fax: (212) 757-3990
mhiromi@paulweiss.com
nbutto@paulweiss.com

Robert T. Cahill (VSB 38562) COOLEY LLP 11951 Freedom Drive, 14th Floor

Alan Levine (pro hac vice)
Philip Bowman (pro hac vice)
COOLEY LLP

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001 Telephone: (212) 479-6260 Fax: (212) 479-6275 alevine@cooley.com pbowman@cooley.com Reston, VA 20190-5656 Telephone: (703) 456-8000 Fax: (703) 456-8100 rcahil@cooley.com

J. Benjamin Rottenborn (VSB 84796) WOODS ROGERS PLC 10 South Jefferson St., Suite 1400 Roanoke, VA 24011 Telephone: (540) 983-7600 Fax: (540) 983-7711 brottenborn@woodsrogers.com

David E. Mills (pro hac vice) Joshua M. Siegel (VSB 73416) Caitlin B. Munley (pro hac vice) Samantha A Strauss (pro hac vice) Alexandra Eber (pro hac vice) Allegra K. Flamm (pro hac vice) Gemma Seidita (pro hac vice) Khary J. Anderson (pro hac vice) COOLEY LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 842-7800 Fax: (202) 842-7899 dmills@cooley.com jsiegel@cooley.com cmunley@cooley.com sastrauss@cooley.com aeber@cooley.com aflamm@cooley.com gseidita@cooley.com kanderson@cooley.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2021, I filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court through the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to:

Elmer Woodard 5661 US Hwy 29 Blairs, VA 24527 isuecrooks@comcast.net

James E. Kolenich Kolenich Law Office 9435 Waterstone Blvd. #140 Cincinnati, OH 45249 jek318@gmail.com

Counsel for Defendants Jason Kessler, Nathan Damigo, and Identity Europa, Inc. (Identity Evropa) David L. Campbell
Justin Saunders Gravatt
Duane, Hauck, Davis & Gravatt, P.C.
100 West Franklin Street, Suite 100
Richmond, VA 23220
dcampbell@dhdglaw.com
jgravatt@dhdglaw.com

Counsel for Defendant James A. Fields, Jr

Bryan Jones 106 W. South St., Suite 211 Charlottesville, VA 22902 bryan@bjoneslegal.com

Counsel for Defendants Michael Hill, Michael Tubbs, and League of the South

William Edward ReBrook, IV The ReBrook Law Office 6013 Clerkenwell Court Burke, VA 22015 edward@rebrooklaw.com

Counsel for Defendants Jeff Schoep, Matthew Heimbach, Matthew Parrott, Traditionalist Worker Party, National Socialist Movement, and Nationalist Front Joshua Smith Smith LLC 807 Crane Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216 joshsmith2020@gmail.com

Counsel for Defendants Matthew Parrott, Matthew Heimbach, and Traditionalist Worker Party I hereby certify that on November 14, 2021, I also served the following non-ECF participants via mail or electronic mail:

Richard Spencer richardbspencer@icloud.com richardbspencer@gmail.com

Vanguard America c/o Dillon Hopper dillon hopper@protonmail.com

Elliott Kline a/k/a Eli Mosley eli.f.mosley@gmail.com deplorabletruth@gmail.com eli.r.kline@gmail.com

Christopher Cantwell Christopher Cantwell 00991-509 Central Virginia Regional Jail 13021 James Madison Hwy Orange, VA 22960

Robert "Azzmador" Ray azzmador@gmail.com

Dated: November 14, 2021

Very truly yours,

William A. Isaacson